

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Planning and Environmental Management – City of York Local Plan – Local Plan Executive Report 26th January 2017

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

This report provides an update on the emerging Local Plan and in particular on the initial consideration of the newly submitted MOD sites against the Local Plan Site Selection methodology following the report to Executive on 7th December 2016. It highlights initial appraisal work completed to date to consider whether the sites represent 'reasonable alternatives' for potential inclusion in the Local Plan and further technical work that will need to be completed in order to assess whether the sites can be included within the final Plan.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Martin Grainger – Head of Planning and Environmental Management

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No) No	Community of Identity affected: • N/a	Summary of impact:N/a
5. Date CIA completed:	11/01/16	

6. Signed off by: Mike Slater

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed. **Name:** Mike Slater

Position: Assistant Director (Planning and Sustainable Development)

Date: 12/01/2016

8. Decision-making body:	Date:	Decision Details:
Local Plan Working Group	23/01/17	
Executive	26/01/17	

Send the completed signed off document to <u>equalities@york.gov.uk</u>. It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required



Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:	'Executive Report on the emerging Local Plan and potential reasonable alternative sites'
What evidence is available to suggest that the p no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (R	proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or effer to guidance for further details)
	improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement ances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g.

older people. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

The content of the Report focuses on the outcomes of an initial assessment of the MOD sites submitted for consideration for development. The report sets out recommendations to undertake a further site consultation to ask the publics' opinion on the sites. It also sets out a revised timetable for adoption of the Local Plan incorporating this consultation stage. At this stage therefore there are considered to be no specific impacts identified on any of the 'Protected Characteristics' (Age, gender, gender reassignment, Disability & Race, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy & maternity, religion & belief, or sexual orientation). As Local Plan progresses such impacts on a number of 'protected characteristics' may become evident and at that point will be addressed through CIA.

Community of Identity: Age						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		

Community of Identity: Disability						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/a		• N/a	N/A	N/A		
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
N/a	N/A	N/a	N/A	N/A		

Community of Identity: Gender						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Details of Im	pact im	n negative npacts be ustified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date	
N/A	N/.	Ά	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Can negativeDetails of Impactimpacts bejustified?		Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)			
N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Can negativeDetails of Impactimpacts bejustified?		Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	Community of Identity: Race						
Evidence			Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
n/a	n/a		• N/a	N/a	n/a		
	Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		
n/a		n/a	N/a	N/a	n/a		

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date	
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation					
Evidence			Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A
	Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A